# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE & FISHERIES



OFFICE OF FISHERIES

**INLAND FISH SECTION** 

PART VI-A

WATERBODY MANAGEMENT PLAN SERIES

**IVAN LAKE** 

LAKE HISTORY & MANAGEMENT ISSUES

# **CHRONOLOGY**

# DOCUMENT SCHEDULED TO BE UPDATED ANNUALLY

April 2011—Prepared By:

James Seales, Biologist III, District 1
Jeff Sibley, Biologist Supervisor, District 1
Kevin Houston, Biologist III, District 1

Update - December 2013—Prepared By: Kevin Houston, Biologist III, District 1 Jeff Sibley, Biologist Supervisor, District 1

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

LAKE HISTORY	5
GENERAL INFORMATION	5
Parish/ location:	
Date Lake Formed:	
Impoundment:	
Size (surface area):	
Watershed:	
Pool Stage:	
Spillway Width:	
Drawdown (outlet) structure description:	
Who Controls:	
LAKE AUTHORITY	6
ACCESS	6
Boat Ramps:	
Permits:	
Piers:	
State/Federal Facilities:	
Artificial Reefs:	
SHORELINE DEVELOPMENT	9
PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF LAKE	10
Shoreline Length:	1
Timber Type:	1
Average Depth:	1
Maximum Depth:	1
Total Water Volume at Pool Stage:	1
Natural Seasonal Water Fluctuation:	1
EVENTS/ PROBLEMS	10
Recent Drawdowns and Lakebed Renovation	1
MANAGEMENT ISSUES	14
AQUATIC VEGETATION	14
Aquatic Vegetation Surveys and Type Maps:	1
Aquatic Vegetation Treatment History:	

HISTORY OF REGULATIONS	16
Recreational	
Commercial	17
DRAWDOWN HISTORY	17
FISH KILLS/ DISEASE HISTORY, LMBV	19
CONTAMINANTS/POLLUTION	19
BIOLOGICAL	19
Fish Sampling History:	19
Lake Records:	20
Stocking History:	20
Species Profile:	21
Largemouth Bass Genetics:	22
Threatened/Endangered/Exotic Species:	22
CREEL	22
HYDROLOGICAL CHANGES	22
WATER USE	22
APPENDIX I	23
APPENDIX II	36
APPENDIX III	37
APPENDIX IV	44
APPENDIX V	45
APPENDIX VI	46
APPENDIX VII	47
APPENDIX VIII	49
APPENDIX IX	52

#### LAKE HISTORY

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

## **Parish/ location:**

**Bossier** 

#### **Date Lake Formed:**

Dam project started in 1955, completed in 1958 and flooded shortly thereafter, reaching pool stage at an unknown date in the spring of 1958.

#### **Impoundment:**

Ivan Lake dam consists of a 1,300 ft. earthen embankment with a 35ft. wide crown. The crown includes an 18ft. blacktop roadway running total length and beyond with an approximate 3 to 1 slope. Dam extends across Caney Creek. The entire project lies within the Bodcau Wildlife Management Area (WMA).

#### Size (surface area):

520 acres

#### Watershed:

55 square miles of area (35,200 acres) drain into Ivan Lake. The ratio of watershed to lake surface is 68:1.

Watershed characteristics: Plantation pine, mixed hardwoods, and pasture. Soils are acidic, sandy, and somewhat infertile. Soil pH is low. Tests from lake soil indicate a pH ranging from 5.15 to 5.28.

#### **Pool Stage:**

Surface elevation of Ivan Lake is set at the spillway elevation of 200 feet MSL (mean sea level).

#### **Spillway Width:**

200 ft. non-gated ogee spillway and stilling basin

# Drawdown (outlet) structure description:

Intake Structure—36 inch CPP Corrugated Plastic Pipe with circular gate and concrete structure with trash gate

Outlet Structure—36 inch CPP (Corrugated Plastic Pipe) set in concrete headwall with grouted rip-rap outflow channel.

#### **Who Controls:**

Owned by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries (LDWF) was granted license to manage the lake on October 22, 2009. Prior to this time, the Bossier Parish Police Jury (BPPJ) was licensed to manage the reservoir. (APPENDIX I contains a copy of the current license). The

dam and spillway structure are controlled and maintained by the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD).

#### LAKE AUTHORITY

LDWF is authorized by USCOE to manage Ivan Lake and Bodcau WMA.

#### ACCESS

### **Boat Ramps:**

There is one boat launch. It is located near the dam includes a primitive camping area and outdoor toilets. To reach ramp, travel west on LA Hwy 160 from Cotton Valley. Turn right on Ivan Lake Rd, and then travel northward across dam to ramp facility. Coordinates for the boat ramp on Ivan Lake are 32.830714° N and -93.492836° W. See Ivan Public Boat Ramps - APPENDIX II.

#### **Permits:**

LDWF self-clearing permit is required for all activities on Ivan Lake. A permit station is located near the public launch facility. In addition to a self-clearing permit, persons using the WMA for any purpose other than hunting must possess one of the following: a valid Wild Louisiana Stamp, a valid Louisiana fishing license, or a valid Louisiana hunting license. Persons younger than 16 or older than 60 years of age are exempt from this requirement. A WMA Hunting Permit is required for persons age 18-59 to hunt on the WMA. For more information concerning permit requirements refer to the current Louisiana Hunting Regulations Pamphlet, or visit the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries website; http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/

#### Piers:

In 2013, LDWF constructed five public fishing piers on Ivan Lake, including one ADA pier accessible by handicap parking and sidewalk (Figures 1 and 2). Three piers have been equipped with automatic fish feeders. Also, a boat mooring dock is located adjacent to the boat launch.



Figure 1. Handicap accessible pier. LDWF file photo.



Figure 2. Typical pier construction. LDWF file photo.

## **State/Federal Facilities:**

Ivan Lake lies within Bodcau Wildlife Management Area which is owned by the USACE and managed by LDWF. Persons using Ivan Lake are subject to the same regulations, permit and license requirements as those utilizing other areas of Bodcau WMA. See the section above on "Permits" and consult the current Louisiana Hunting Regulation Pamphlet for more information.

A small park area including picnic tables, primitive camp sites, and outdoor toilets are associated with the fishing piers and public boat launch.

## **Artificial Reefs:**

Three types of reef structures have been deployed on the lower portion of Ivan Lake: 1) Plastic crate towers, 2) Vertical Christmas trees, and 3) Pallet stake beds (Figures 3, 4 and 5). The plastic crate towers are marked by a single buoy, and the Christmas trees (70) are surrounded by four buoys aligned in a square-shaped pattern. Twenty stake bed pallets have been sunk along the perimeter of the buoys.



Figure 3. Plastic tower structure. LDWF file photo.



Figure 4. Christmas tree reefs. LDWF file photo.



Figure 5. Pallet stake bed. LDWF file photo.

# SHORELINE DEVELOPMENT

Ivan Lake is encompassed by a mixed-pine/hardwood forest shoreline. A few residential properties adjoin the WMA within view of the lake.

#### PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF LAKE

#### **Shoreline Length:**

9.5 miles

### **Timber Type:**

Prior to impoundment, the bottom of Ivan Lake consisted of a mixed pine - deciduous forest with bottomland hardwoods along the creek channel. Very little timber was removed prior to flooding the lakebed. Subsequently, dying timber formed a jungle of dead logs, snags, and stubble. Some of the remaining timber on the lower end of the lake was removed during a drawdown in 1967.

#### **Average Depth:**

6.46 feet

#### **Maximum Depth:**

20 feet

#### **Total Water Volume at Pool Stage:**

3,360 acre feet storage capacity

#### **Natural Seasonal Water Fluctuation:**

2-3 feet

#### **EVENTS/ PROBLEMS**

#### **Early Drawdowns**

According to unpublished LDWF materials, the timber in Ivan Lake died after impoundment of the lake. The resulting habitat included tangle of dead logs, snags and stubble. Most likely prepared during the early 1960's, this "Initial Management Plan" can be found in <u>APPENDIX III</u>. Woody debris precluded much recreational use of the lake, especially skiing, and swimming. When this early plan was prepared, native submergent and emergent aquatic vegetation had already become problematic in the shallow areas of the lake.

Several of the recommendations outlined in the initial management plan were implemented when the lake was completely dewatered in 1967. Timber was cleared and snagged on the lower end of the lake. A concrete boat ramp was also constructed adjacent to the picnic area near the dam at this time.

The early plan also called for annual fall/winter drawdowns to control aquatic vegetation and increase fish production. The recommended drawdowns were to be conducted annually for five years. However, the drawdowns were not implemented on an annual basis.

Ivan Lake was completely dewatered in the latter part of July 1974 to allow the Department of Public Works to make extensive repairs to the earthen dam and renovations to the spillway.

#### **Recent Drawdowns and Lakebed Renovation**

Ivan Lake was completely dewatered in the 2004. The dewatering was unintentional. It occurred when the control structure was not closed at the proper time during a drawdown for hydrilla control (Figure 6). The drawdown was to be the first of five consecutive fall-winter drawdowns for hydrilla control in accordance with the Ivan Lake Aquatic Vegetation Management Plan prepared by LDWF. A fish kill followed this accidental dewatering, and an investigation showed a large number of sport fish died as a result of this event. Once it was discovered that the lake had been drained, DOTD was contacted, the gate were closed, and the lake was allowed to refill to the prescribed 6' drawdown level. Evaluations of the remaining fish population by LDWF personnel revealed an abundance of rough fish and few remaining sport fish.



Figure 6. Ivan Lake following accidental complete dewatering in the fall of 2004. LDWF file photo.

Ivan Lake has needed lakebed renovation for several years and this unfortunate circumstance yielded an opportune time to implement such a plan. The reservoir was experiencing symptoms associated with the aging or eutrophication process of a lake. Bottom sediments were comprised largely of fine silts, sands, and organic muck from the excessive aquatic plant growth and leaf litter from adjacent forests.

During the second scheduled drawdown, the lake was completely dewatered at the request of the Louisiana Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD) so that a leaking control gate could be inspected. This drawdown began on September 15, 2005. Good drying conditions were observed on many areas of the lake bed that fall.

The third drawdown in the series was delayed until early September 2006 to facilitate repairs being made on the Bodcau dam located downstream from Ivan Lake. The lake was dewatered to the maximum extent to allow for maximum drying of the lake bed. The control gate remained open throughout the year as DOTD and the USACE inspected and made repairs to the control structure. The control gate was to remain open indefinitely in preparation for a lake renovation project.

The Bossier Parish Police Jury (BPPJ) was licensed by the USACE for construction, operation and maintenance of dam, lake, and recreational area known as Ivan Lake in April of 1955. This license was renewed in April of 1980 for a second 25 year period. On April 6, 2005 the license expired and the BPPJ decided not to renew the license. The USACE inquired about the possibility of LDWF assuming the operation and maintenance of Ivan Lake following the expiration date of the lease in April of 2005. The letter, which is incorrectly dated April 11, 2004, is displayed in **APPENDIX IV**. The issue of greatest concern expressed in this correspondence was the possibility of Ivan Lake being closed for public use. Upon receipt of the correspondence, LDWF began investigating the possibility of assuming responsibility for Ivan Lake.

Prior to LDWF entering into an agreement for Ivan Lake, several issues related to maintenance and repair had to be resolved. This included repairs to the dam, control structure and outflow pipe, along with ensuring that other entities would continue routine maintenance of the dam, picnic area, and restroom facility. LDWF also wanted to make sure that other improvements to the lake such as fishing piers, fish attractors, channel marking, and shoreline enhancements could be achieved under the terms of the agreement. Once plans and agreements were reached, Ivan Lake was incorporated into the USACE-LDWF Agreement along with Bodcau WMA. The current License, dated October 22, 2009 is for a 25 year term.

A meeting was held during June 2010 to begin planning the renovation of Ivan Lake. The parties involved in the renovation discussions included: BPPJ, DOTD, USACE, state Senator Adley's Office, and LDWF. The primary objective of the meeting was to address the repairs or replacement of the outflow conduit and address the recent soil slides along the berm of the dam. It was agreed that the BPPJ and DOTD would be responsible for replacement of the outflow conduit and LDWF would provide funding for construction of a secondary support berm for the dam.

Engineers from DOTD and the BPPJ produced plans for repair of the dam. The project was submitted for bids and completed in January 2012. Soil from the lake bed was used for construction of the secondary support berm.

Restoration projects completed by LDWF include shoreline improvements for bank access, fishing piers, boat lanes, artificial reefs, and sportfish restocking. A conceptual design of these improvements to the lake can be found in **APPENDIX V**.

A cultural resource survey was conducted to insure that no significant archeological sites would be impacted by the renovation work on the lake bed. The areas included in this survey are depicted by the map in **APPENDIX VI**.

On October 12, 2010 an application of 5% liquid rotenone was made to the remaining pockets of water found within the lakebed (Figures 7 and 8). The application was made by LDWF personnel with assistance from local USACE personnel. This fish eradication effort was intended to remove any undesirable fish prior to future restocking efforts. Moribund fish observed following the treatment included spotted gar, bigmouth buffalo, largemouth bass, channel catfish, and gizzard shad. Several weeks after the treatment, 800 yards of 2" flag webbing was deployed in the creek and borrow pit, then checked periodically over the course of a month. One decomposed spotted gar was collected during this effort.



Figure 7. Application of liquid rotenone to water remaining in creek channel at maximum drawdown in an effort to eradicate the existing fish population prior to restocking following renovations to Ivan Lake. LDWF file photo taken October 12, 2010.



Figure 8. Liquid rotenone applied to creek channel and other areas not accessible by boat. LDWF file photo taken October 12, 2010.

#### MANAGEMENT ISSUES

#### **AQUATIC VEGETATION**

Native emergent and submergent aquatic vegetation became problematic on Ivan Lake in the early 1960's. The most prevalent submersed species at that time were bladderwort (*Utricularia spp.*), coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*), and pondweed (*Potamogeton spp.*). Prevalent emergent aquatic vegetation species were watershield (*Brasenia schreberi*), water primrose (*Ludwigia octovalvis*), and cat-tail (*Typha spp.*).

A drawdown was conducted in 1967 for clearing timber. During this time the lake was drawn down to the lowest possible level. Correspondence indicates that another drawdown was requested by the Bossier Parish Police Jury in 1969 for vegetation control. It is not known whether this drawdown was conducted. Correspondence indicates that aquatic vegetation was problematic again in 1972.

Ivan Lake has extensive areas of shallow water that are susceptible to utilization by aquatic macrophytes (Figure 9). It is suspected that aquatic plant growth increased over time as the Ivan Lake eutrophication process accelerated.



Figure 9. Aquatic vegetation is problematic in many areas of Ivan Lake due to the large expanses of shallow water. LDWF file photo.

Information is lacking to describe the specifics of Ivan Lake vegetation problems until the mid-1990's. In 1997, vegetation surveys were conducted by LDWF and the USACE. Problem plants noted were coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*), American lotus (*Nelumbo lutea*), alligator weed (*Alternanthera philoxeroides*), Eurasian watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), variable-leaf milfoil (*Myriophyllum heterophyllum*) and hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*). Hydrilla was first documented during 1996, and was found throughout the lake during the survey conducted by LDWF on June 10, 1997. Total coverage of aquatic vegetation was estimated at 20% or approximately 100 acres during each survey.

Concern from the public and the BPPJ over aquatic vegetation problems and lack of angler success prompted a series of meetings between LDWF, USACE and BPPJ in 1997. Options included herbicide applications, biological controls, and drawdowns. A decision was reached to proceed with a 6' drawdown for aquatic vegetation control that year.

By 2002, hydrilla infestations were severe enough that a series of drawdowns were recommended as a control measure. Surveys conducted in 2003 revealed submerged

vegetation covered approximately 35% of the lake, with the most problematic species being hydrilla. In 2004, a control plan was implemented to reduce hydrilla infestations (**APPENDIX VII**).

Hydrilla coverage was found to be greatly reduced during the 2005 vegetation survey. A determination was made to continue with the existing plan for hydrilla control and continue the drawdowns. Ivan Lake has been dewatered much of the time since 2005 for various reasons pertaining to lake renovation (see Table 1, Drawdown History section). An aerial view of Ivan Lake during one of the drawdowns from 2005 until present is shown in Figure 10.

In early 2013, submerged aquatic vegetation began expanding in the shallow areas of Ivan Lake. By March of 2013, estimated submerged & emergent vegetation totaled 120 acres of coverage. The primary species were Eurasian watermilfoil and fanwort along with some hydrilla. This expansion of coverage combined with historical trends led to the decision to introduce triploid grass carp as a preventive control measure.

# **Aquatic Vegetation Surveys and Type Maps:**

Surveys of aquatic vegetation conducted in 1997 did not include a type map. Type map surveys were conducted in 2002, 2005, and 2013. See **APPENDIX VIII**.

#### **Aquatic Vegetation Treatment History:**

Drawdown conducted in 1997 for alligator weed, lotus, coontail and hydrilla control. Drawdowns were conducted annually from 2004 through 2006 for hydrilla control. From 2007 until 2012, the gate remained open which resulted in multiple, short-duration lake refills.

#### **Biological Control**

In the spring of 2013, 600 triploid grass carp measuring (TGC) 11 - 13" TL were introduced into Ivan Lake. TGC were stocked at a rate of 3 per acre of submerged vegetation. Vegetation coverage for the stocking was calculated using a recent LDWF survey and historical trends of growth.

#### HISTORY OF REGULATIONS

#### Recreational

Statewide regulations have been in effect for all species since impoundment.

The 2013 statewide recreational fishing regulations may be viewed at the link below: <a href="http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/fishing/regulations">http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/fishing/regulations</a>

# **Commercial**

Commercial activities are prohibited without a permit issued by the Secretary of LDWF.

The 2013 statewide commercial fishing regulations may be viewed at the link below: http://www.wlf.louisiana.gov/fishing/regulations

#### **DRAWDOWN HISTORY**

Ivan Lake has been drawn down for various reasons since its impoundment ranging from construction improvements to vegetation control (Table 1).

Table 1. Drawdown history of Ivan Lake, LA from 1967 to 2012.

Table	Table 1. Drawdown history of Ivan Lake, LA from 1967 to 2012.		
Year	Date(s)	Depth Below Pool	Purpose
1967	Unknown	18 Feet- Maximum	Clear timber. Concrete boat ramp built during this time.
1969	Unknown	Unknown	May of 1969, letter from BPPJ letter requesting USACE to lower lake for vegetation control-no further documentation on file.
1974	End of July	18 Feet- Maximum	Dam and spillway renovation. Public Works estimated that approximately 85% water body coverage be reduced for repairs.
1997	August 18- December 15	6 Feet	Vegetation control and maintenance & repair of structures.
2002	Sept 3-Jan 1, 2003	3-4" per day No depth specified	Hydrilla control-did not take place because request processed too late to meet necessary dates for hydrilla control
2003	Sept 13-Jan 19, 2004	6 Feet	Hydrilla control-did not take place- no letter was sent requesting the drawdown from BPPJ.
2004	Sept 13-Jan 24, 2005	6 Feet	Hydrilla control-first in a series of five consecutive drawdowns. Lake was accidentally dewatered at a rapid rate to maximum level by DOTD resulting in major fish kill. Discovered on Oct 4 <sup>th</sup> .
2005	Sept 15-Jan 23, 2006	8 Feet scheduled then to 18ft.	Hydrilla control-Second in series of 5. Proposed 8' but DOTD requested maximum to make repairs to and inspect the control structure.
2006	Aug 28-Jan 31, 2007*	18 Feet Maximum	Hydrilla Control-3 <sup>rd</sup> in series of 5, dewatered to maximum to allow more drying of lake bed in preparations for renovation project. Delayed opening until early Sept due to repairs being made downstream on Bodcau Dam. Gates remained open throughout 2007 as USACE and DOTD made necessary inspections and repairs to control structures.
2007	All Year	18 Feet Maximum	Gates remained open all year for necessary repairs and inspections. Water levels fluctuated drastically during this time as the lake filled and drained several times due to large watershed, thus prevent

			growth of problematic terrestrial plants such as willow trees. This represents the fourth year of the series of 5 drawdowns for hydrilla control.
2008	All year	18 Feet Maximum	Fifth in series of 5 for hydrilla control. Maximum depth to get most drying benefits. Gates were left open until further notice pending action by LDWF to take control of lease and renovate lake bottom.
2009	All Year	18 Feet Maximum	Renovation
2010	All Year	18 Feet Maximum	Renovation
2011	All Year	18 Feet Maximum	Renovation
2012	Gate closed February 14, 2012	18 Feet Maximum	Renovation



Figure 10. Aerial view of Ivan Lake following complete dewatering in preparation for lake renovations. LDWF file photo.

#### FISH KILLS/ DISEASE HISTORY, LMBV

1967- Lake completely dewatered for repairs to dam and spillway. There is no record in the files at District 1 office regarding fish kills during this period. However, there are letters in the following years requesting stocking due to apparent reduction in fish populations. Ronald W. Christ, Technician Supervisor for LDWF in District 1, recalls this event as his family and other local citizens went to the lake during the dewatering and caught fish that were piping in the creek channel and borrow pit near the dam.

2004- Ivan Lake was undergoing a prescribed drawdown of 6 feet below pool for hydrilla control when the lake was inadvertently drained to the maximum extent. On October 4, 2004 a major fish kill was investigated. Due to conditions on the lake, a quantitative count could not be generated. It can be surmised that a majority of fish perished in the event. Subsequent sampling yielded few fish. Fish that were collected were generally small and of undesirable species.

Largemouth bass from Ivan Lake have not been tested for largemouth bass virus. No fish kills have occurred that would indicate the largemouth bass virus is a problem in Ivan Lake.

#### **CONTAMINANTS/POLLUTION**

A Fish Consumption Advisory was issued for Ivan Lake on 11/20/00. The advisory indicates unacceptable levels of mercury in bowfin and largemouth bass. See **APPENDIX IX** for complete details of advisory.

#### **BIOLOGICAL**

#### Fish Sampling History:

Ivan Lake has been sampled for fisheries resources since impoundment through 2013 (Table 2).

Table 2. Historical, present and proposed fish samples taken on Ivan Lake, LA from 1963 to 2016.

IVAN LAKE FISHERIES SAMPLING	
YEAR	GEAR
1963	2, 1-acre rotenone samples
1967	2, 1-acre rotenone samples
1972 (July)	2, 1-acre rotenone samples
1977 (May)	1, 1-acre rotenone sample
1992 (Fall)	Electrofishing 1-15 minute sample Age and Growth samples collected

1993 (June)	Electrofishing 3+-15 minute samples (3,000 seconds)
1997 (April)	Electrofishing 4-15 minute samples
1998 (May)	Electrofishing 4-15 minute samples
2001 Spring and Fall	Electrofishing 4-15 minute samples Spring 5-15 minute samples in Fall (including forage sample plus age and growth)
2004 (December)	Gill nets 2 stations 2 samples each
2005	Electrofishing(March) 3-15 minute samples Shoreline seine 3 stations (July)
2013	No fisheries sampling conducted
2014	Electrofishing 4-15 minute samples Spring 5-15 minute samples in Fall (including forage sample); Age & Growth
2015	Electrofishing 4-15 minute samples Spring 5-15 minute samples in Fall (including forage sample); Age & Growth
2016	Electrofishing 4-15 minute samples Spring 5-15 minute samples in Fall (including forage sample); Age & Growth

# **Lake Records:**

There are no trophy fish records kept specifically for Ivan Lake. For more information on state records, see Louisiana Outdoor Writers Organization website: <a href="http://www.laoutdoorwriters.com/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=raz4WbMqdQY=&tabid=87">http://www.laoutdoorwriters.com/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=raz4WbMqdQY=&tabid=87</a>

# **Stocking History:**

Ivan Lake received initial fish stockings in 1958, then a stocking of channel catfish in 1970 (Table 3).

Table 3. The stocking history of Ivan Lake, LA from 1958 to 2013

Date	Number stocked/Species stocked		
1958	Initial stocking of bluegill and largemouth bass		
1936	actual numbers stocked unknown		
1970	35,000 channel catfish fingerlings		
	186,000 adult and 500,000 fingerling bluegill		
2012	84,000 adult and 107,000 fingerling redear sunfish		
	42,000 largemouth bass fingerlings		
	4,000 black crappie		
	10,000 channel catfish		
	12,000 adult threadfin shad		
	200 broodstock largemouth bass (approx. 4 lbs. average)		
2013	600 triploid grass carp (10 – 15" TL)		
	1,400 adult threadfin shad		

#### **Species Profile:**

List of indigenous freshwater fishes found in Ivan Lake through standardized sampling efforts is found in Table 4 below.

# Table 4. List of indigenous freshwater fishes found in Ivan Lake

#### Gar Family, LEPISOSTEIDAE

Spotted gar, Lepisosteus oculatus (Winchell)

# Bowfin Family, AMIIDAE

Bowfin, Amia calva Linnaeus

### Herring Family, CLUPEIDAE

Gizzard shad, Dorosoma cepedianum (Lesueur)

## Minnow Family, CYPRINIDAE

Golden shiner, *Notemigonus crysoleucas* (Mitchill)

#### Sucker Family, CATOSTOMIDAE

Lake chubsucker, *Erimyzon sucetta* (Lacépède) Bigmouth buffalo, *Ictiobus cyprinellus* (Valenciennes)

#### Freshwater Catfish Family, ICTALURIDAE

Black bullhead, *Ameiurus melas* (Rafinesque)

Yellow bullhead, *Ameiurus natalis* (Lesueur)

Channel catfish, *Ictalurus punctatus* (Rafinesque)

Flathead catfish, *Pylodictis olivaris* (Rafinesque)

#### Pike Family, ESOCIDAE

Chain pickerel, Esox niger Lesueur

#### Pirate Perch Family, APHREDODERIDAE

Pirate perch, Aphredoderus sayanus (Gilliams)

#### Killifish Family, CYPRINODONTIDAE

Golden topminnow, *Fundulus chrysotus* (Günther) Blackstripe topminnow, *Fundulus notatus* (Rafinesque)

#### Livebearer Family, POECILIIDAE

Western mosquitofish, Gambusia affinis (Baird and Girard)

#### Silverside Family, ATHERINIDAE

Brook silverside, Labidesthes sicculus (Cope)

#### Sunfish Family, CENTRARCHIDAE

Flier, Centrarchus macropterus (Lacépède)

Green sunfish, Lepomis cyanellus Rafinesque

Warmouth, *Lepomis gulosus* (Cuvier)

Bluegill, *Lepomis macrochirus* (Rafinesque)

Longear sunfish, *Lepomis megalotis* (Rafinesque)

Redear sunfish, *Lepomis microlophus* (Günther)

Redspotted sunfish, Lepomis miniatus Jordan

Northern largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides* (Lacépède)

Florida largemouth bass, Micropterus floridanus Kassler et al.

White crappie, Pomoxis annularis Rafinesque

Black crappie, *Pomoxis nigromaculatus* (Lesueur)

## **Largemouth Bass Genetics:**

No largemouth bass genetic information has been collected for Ivan Lake.

#### **Threatened/Endangered/Exotic Species:**

Bald eagles have been sighted on the lake by District 1 biologists.

#### CREEL

No creel surveys have been conducted on Ivan Lake.

#### HYDROLOGICAL CHANGES

Ivan Lake was impounded in 1958. The lake was completely dewatered in 1967 and again in 1974 for repairs and renovation work. In 2004, the lake was accidentally completely dewatered during the first in a series of five scheduled drawdowns for hydrilla control. From 2007 to 2012, Ivan Lake remained dry with the flood control gates open for renovation efforts. Due to the large watershed, Ivan Lake filled and drained multiple times during these six years. On February 14, 2012, the gates were closed, and the lake returned to normal pool.

#### WATER USE

Uses include fishing, waterfowl hunting, swimming, and boating. No personal watercraft or towable watersports are allowed on Ivan Lake.

#### APPENDIX I

#### (return to who controls)

#### **Current License Agreement**



#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

VICKSBURG DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 4155 CLAY STREET VICKSBURG, MISSISSEPF 39183-3435

RECEIVED

April 23, 2010

APR 2 6 2010

**LEGAL DIVISION** 

Real Estate Division Realty Services Branch

SUBJECT: Bayou Bodcau Wildlife Management Area, Louisiana, Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, Tidense Contract No. DACW39-2-10-5

Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Abtention: Ms. Yolanda Martin Suite 462 Post Office Box 98000 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70898

Dear Ms. Martin:

Enclosed for your files is an executed original of subject license allowing the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries to continue to operate and maintain the Bayou Bodcau Wildlife Management Area (enclosure 1).

If you should have any questions concerning this instrument, please contact Mr. Guy Barnett of my staff at (601) 631-7294.

Sincerely,

Robert S. Rood

Chief, Real Estate Division

Enclosure

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY LICENSE

#### FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE ACTIVITIES ON

#### BAYOU BODCAU RESERVOIR

#### BOSSIER AND WEBSTER PARISHES, LOUISIANA

THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY, hereinafter referred to as the Secretary, under authority of Section 4, Act of Congress, 22 December 1944, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460d) and Section 3, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1934, as amended (16 U.S.C. Sec. 663), hereby grants to THE LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES, hereinafter referred to as the grantee, a license to operate and maintain 32,766.08 acres, more or less, for fish and wildlife activities over, across, in, and upon lands of the United States, as identified in Exhibits "A-1", "A-2", and "A-3", attached heroto and made a part hereof, hereinafter referred to as the premises.

THIS LICENSE is granted subject to the following conditions:

#### 1. TERM

This license is granted for a term of twenty five (25) years, beginning 22 October 2009 and ending 21 October 2034, but revocable at will by the Secretary.

#### 2. CONSIDERATION

The consideration for this license is a support role in the operation and maintenance of the premises by the grantee for the benefit of the United States and the general public in accordance with the conditions herein set forth.

#### 3. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices to be given pursuant to this license shall be addressed, if to the grantee to:

> Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Attention: Socretary Post Office Box 98000 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70898

> > Enclosure 1

CONTRACT NO. DACW38-3-10-5

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

and if to the United States, to the:

USAED, Vicksburg District Engineer Attention: Chief, Real Estate Division 4155 Clay Street Vicksburg, Mississippi 39183-3435

or as may from time to time otherwise be directed by the parties. Notice shall be doomed to have been duly given if and when enclosed in a properly sealed envelope, or wrapper, addressed as aforesaid, and deposited, postage prepaid, in a post office regularly maintained by the United States Postal Service.

#### 4. AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVES

Except as otherwise specifically provided, any reference herein to "Secretary", "District Engineer", or "said officer" shall include their duly authorized representatives. Any reference to "grantee" shall include any only authorized representatives.

#### 5. SUPERVISION BY THE DISTRICT ENGINEER

The use and occupation of the premises shall be subject to the general supervision and approval of the District Engineer, hereinafter referred to as said officer, and to such rules and regulations as may be prescribed from time to time by said officer.

#### 6. STRUCTURES AND EQUIPMENT

The grantee shall have the right, during the term of the license, to erect such structures and to provide such equipment upon the premises to accomplish the purposes of the license and as provided for in the Annual Management Plan. Those structures and equipment shall be and remain the property of the grantee, except as otherwise provided in the condition on RESTORATION.

#### 7. ANNUAL MANAGEMENT PLANS

The grantee shall administer the premises in accordance with an Annual Management Plan which shows the management and development activities to be undertaken by the grantee. No later than 1 October of each year, the grantee will submit the Annual Management Plan to be mutually agreed upon between the grantee and the said officer. Such Annual Management Plan shall include but is not limited to the following:

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

- a. Plans for management, maintenance, and development activities to be undertaken by the grantee or jointly by the Corps of Engineers and the grantee which shall include plans for any proposed structures and improvements.
- b. The areas to be utilized for agricultural purposes.
- c. The variety and scope of crops to be planted, as well as any rotations.
- d. The type of wildlife cover to be cultivated, if any.
- e. The areas designated for various species of fish and wildlife propagation.

#### 8. FISH AND WILDLIFE ACTIVITIES

- a. The grantee may plant or harvest crops, either directly, by service contract, by sharecrop agreements with local farmers, or by agricultural agreements to provide food and/or habitat for wildlife and for the development and conservation of land, fish and wildlife, forests, and other natural resources. Where feasible, contracts and agreements with third parties shall be by competitive bid procedures.
- b. Any lands not being managed by the grantee for wildlife habitat will be made available for loase by the said officer for agricultural or grazing purposes under conditions which would not be incompatible with the grantee's use of the premises.
- c. The grantee may take, trap, remove, stock, or otherwise control all forms of fish and wildlife on the premises, and may place therein such additional forms of fish and wildlife as it may desire from time to time, and shall have the right to close the area, or any parts thereof from time to time, to fishing, hunting or trapping, provided that the closing of any area to such use shall be consistent with the state laws for the protection of fish and wildlife.

#### 9. ACCOUNTS, RECORDS AND RECEIPTS

a. All monies received by the grantee from operations conducted on the premises may be utilized by the grantee for the administration, maintenance, operation, and development of the premises. Beginning 5 years from the date of this license and continuing at 5-year intervals, any such monies not so utilized or

CONTRACT NO. DACW38-3-10-5

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

. ...... ....

programmed for utilization within a reasonable time shall be paid to the said officer. The grantee shall provide an annual statement of receipts and expenditures to the said officer. The said officer shall have the right to perform audits of the grantee's records and accounts.

- p. Payment of direct expenses is authorized for planning and development of optimum wildlife habitat including planting of wildlife food plots, necessary timber clearing, erosion control, or habitat improvements such as shelter, nestocking of fish and wildlife, and protection of endangered species. Payment of grantee's employees who are directly engaged in such activities at the project is also authorized. However, proceeds will not be used for the payment of general administrative expenses.
- $\sigma_{\rm c}$  . Proceeds derived from the sale of fishing and bunting leases are not subject to this condition.

#### 10. APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS

The grantee shall comply with all applicable federal, state, county, and municipal laws, ordinances, and regulations wherein the premises are located.

#### 11. CONDITIONAL USE BY GRANTEE

The exercise of the privileges herein granted shall be:

- a, without cost or expense to the United States;
- b. subject to the right of the United States to improve, use, or maintain the premises.
- $\sigma_{\rm c}$  subject to other outgrants of the United States on the premises.
- d. personal to the grantee, and this license, or any interest therein, may not be transferred or assigned.

#### 12. CONDITION OF PREMISES

The grantee acknowledges that it has inspected the premises, knows its condition, and understands that the same is granted without any representations or warranties whatsoever and without any obligation on the part of the United States.

4

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

#### 13. PROTECTION OF PROPERTY

The premises shall at all times be protected and maintained in good order and condition by and at the expense of the grantee. The grantee shall be responsible for any damage that may be caused to the property of the United States by the activities of the grantee under this license, and shall exercise due diligence in the protection of all property located on the premises against fire or damage from any and all other causes. Any property of the United States damaged or destroyed by the grantee incident to the exercise of the privileges herein granted shall be promptly repaired or replaced by the grantee to a condition satisfactory to said officer, or at the election of said officer, reimbursement made therefore by the grantee in an amount necessary to restore or replace the property to a condition satisfactory to said officer.

#### 14, RESTORATION

On or before the expiration of this license or its termination by the grantee, the grantee shall vacate the premises, remove the property of the grantce, and restore the premises to a condition satisfactory to said officer. If, however, this license is revoked, the grantee shall vacate the premises, remove said property, and restore the premises to the aforesaid condition within such time as the said officer may designate. In either event, if the grantee shall fail or neglect to remove said property and restore the premises, them, at the option of said officer, the property shall either become the property of the United States without compensation therefore, or said officer may cause the property to be removed, and no claim for damages against the United States or its officens or agents shall be created by or made on account of such removal and restoration work. The grantes shall also pay the United States on demand any sum which may be expended by the United States after the expiration, revocation, or termination of this license in restoring the premises.

#### 15. NON-DISCRIMINATION

a. The grantee shall not discriminate against any person or exclude them from participation in the grantee's operations, programs, or activities conducted on the licensed premises, because of race, color, religion, sex, age, handicap, or national origin. The grantee will comply with the Americans with

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Disabilities Act and attendant Americans with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG) published by the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board.

b. The grantee, by acceptance of this license, is receiving a type of Federal assistance and, therefore, hereby gives assurance that it will comply with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d); the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C 6102); the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. 794); and all requirements imposed by or pursuant to the Directive of the Department of Defense (32 CFR Part 300) issued as Department of Defense Directive 5500.11 and 1020.1, and Army Regulation 600-7.

#### 16. TERMINATION

This license may be terminated by the grantee at any time by giving the said officer at least thirty (30) days notice in writing.

#### 17, NATURAL RESOURCES

The grantee shall cut no timber, conduct no mining operations, remove no sand, gravel, or kindred substances from the ground, commit no waste of any kind, nor in any manner substantially change the contour or condition of the premises, except as may be authorized under and pursuant to the approved Annual Management Plan. The grantee may salvage fallen or dead timber; however, no commercial use shall be made of such timber. Except for timber salvaged by the grantee when in the way of construction of improvements or other facilities, all sales of forest products will be conducted by the United States and the proceeds therefrom shall not be available to the grantee under the provisions of this license.

## 18. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

a. Within the limits of their respective legal powers, the parties to this license shall protect the premises against pollution of its air, ground, and water. The grantee shall comply with any laws, regulations, conditions, or instructions affecting the activity hereby authorized if and when issued by the Environmental Protection Agency, or any Federal, state, interstate, or local governmental agency having jurisdiction to abate or prevent pollution. The disposal of any toxic or hazardous materials within the premises is specifically

CONTRACT NO. DACW38-3-10-5

# LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

prohibited. Such regulations, conditions, or instructions in effect or prescribed by said Environmental Protection Agency, or any Federal, state, interstate, or local governmental agency are hereby made a condition of this license. The grantee shall not discharge waste or effluent from the premises in such a manner that the discharge will contaminate streams or other bodies of water or otherwise become a public nuisance.

- b. The grantee will use all reasonable means available to protect the environment and natural resources, and where damage nonetheless occurs from the grantee's activities, the grantee shell be liable to restore the damaged resources.
- c. The grantee must obtain approval in writing from said officer before any pesticides or herbicides are applied to the premises.

#### 19. HISTORIC PRESERVATION

The grantce shall not remove or disturb, or cause or permit to be removed or disturbed, any historical, archeological, architectural, or other cultural architectural, remains, or objects of antiquity. In the event such items are discovered on the premises, the grantee shall immediately notify said officer and protect the site and the material from further disturbance until said officer gives clearance to proceed.

#### 20. DISCLAIMER

This license is effective only insofar as the rights of the United States in the premises are concerned; and the grantee shall obtain any permit or license which may be required by Federal, state, or local statute in connection with the use of the premises. It is understood that the granting of this license does not preclude the necessity of obtaining a Department of the Army permit for activities which involve the discharge of dredge or fill material or the placement of fixed structures in the waters of the United States, pursuant to the provisions of Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 3 March 1899 (33 USC 403), and Section 404 of the Clean Waters Act (33 OSC 1344).

THIS LICENSE is not subject to Title 10, United States Code, Section 2662, as amended.

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

CONTRACT NO. DACW38-3-10-5

in witness whereof, I have hereunts set my hand by authority of the Secretary of the Army, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2010.

SECRETARY OF THE ARMY

ROBERT S. WCOD


Chief, Real Estate Division

THIS LICENSE is also executed by the grantee this  $\underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ }$  day of  $\underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ }$  , 2610.

LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES


ROBERT BARHAM


Secretary of the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries




# Exhibit "A-1"

BAYOU BODCAU, FOULSTANA
LOUISTANA DEPARTMENT OF WILDLIFE &
FISHERIES
LICENSR CONTRACT NO. DACW38-3-10-5,
32,766.08 ACRES, MORE OR LESS








# APPENDIX II

(return to Boat Ramps)

# Ivan Public Boat Ramps



## **APPENDIX III**

(return to drawdowns)

Original Management Plan

Joan John

LVAN LARGE MANAGEMENT FLAS

Introduction

Two take, located in northeast Bossier Parish, is an impoundment covering approximately 520 acres. The lake was created in 1956 with an earthern dam constructed across Caney creek approximately  $3\frac{1}{2}$  miles was of Cotton Valley, Louisians. The lake has an area of about 320 acres at shiftway level (200 ft. 4.8.L.).

The take was constructed without prior removal of timber.

Then thooding, this timber died forming a jungle of loga, dead anaga, and stubble. This created a multitude of problems resulting in little recreational usage of the take. The density of this dead diener prohibits water skiing entirely and limits swimming. Fish production, as a rule, is extremely poor in a lake that has had the tumber tert in 14. Even is no exception to this rule.

Obnoxious aquatic vegetation is becoming a problem in the shallow area of the lake. At least seven (7) species of aquatic vesetation are found in the lake at this time. The most prevalent are of the submersed types to include, bladderwort, countail, and two (2) species of pondweed. The configurations countail, and assist of pondweed. The configuration consist of water-descil, water orienness, and catterin. Aquatic repetation is presently to self-from the shape I he to sater time feet seep (195 M.S.L.) in severally to heavy actions. This estatation creates problems in the configuration are restation are activities such as assistant and exconuntly of confident other water activities such as alternation and sation.

The picnic area has been the victim of vandelism and is in need of repair and expansion to promote more usage.

The fertility of this lake is impossible todetermine without an extensive study. From observation and taking into consideration the soil fertility of the surrounding area it appears that the water is infertile resulting in poor fish production.

Based on the above observations and findings the following management plans are suggested to give the citizens of Bossier Parish a place of recreation. The management plans are presented in two

### segments:

- A. A plan to rework this take making it of such type that future management can be accomplished.
- B. A five year management plan to be initialed one year following completion.

### INITIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

### Recommendations

- T. Evan take should be completely drained and allowed to dry.

  This should be publicated to allow the citizens to harvest as many
  fish as possible before the lake becomes dry.
- A. The entire take should be cleared of dead timber and debris. This can be accomplished with a dozer. This dead matter should be wind coved and burned. This would excate an open area for beating had water skiing and would also increase fish production.

- B. If the above recommendation is found to be overly expensive or too extravagant an alternate plan is suggested.
- 1. The debris should be cleared, wind rowed and burned from the dam to the Phillip's Creek area (see map). Additional boat roads should be cleared from Phillip's Creek to Louisiana Highway 184. The small area northwest of highway 184 should remain wooded to provide a roosting area for waterfowl.
- 2. Subsequent areas should be cleared annually. This practice will eventually clean the lake of debris and at the same time distribute the cost over a longer period.
- II. A concrete launching ramp should be constructed at the north end of the dam to provide fishermen and pleasure boat operators access to the lake.
- 111. A swimming area from the launching area to the spillway should be constructed by filling in with sand to produce a beach effect. This area should be marked and piling driven around it to prevent power boats from entering and creating a safety hazard.
- IV. Construction of a T shaped pier would be benefical to swimmers, sun bathers, and possibly provide angling for children.
- V. The entire pickic area should be landscaped and concrete picnic tables constructed with at least two (2) tables being covered in the event of adverse weather conditions.
- VI. A shallow well should be drilled and equipped with a hand pump to provide a source of safe useable water.
- VIV. The present rest room facilities are ryn down and should be rebuilt with expanded facilities to accommodate more people.

VIII. The picnic area should be fenced from livestock and treated for ticks.

- IX. Additional gravel is needed to improve parish access roads.
- X. Underbrush around the lake should be cleared to provide a park like area for hikers and campers. All green timber should remain as to provide habitat for wildlife such as birds and squirrels.

Upon completion of clearing and burning the dead matter, Ivan

Lake will be filled with water and stocked with large mouth base and

bluegill sunfish by the Louisiana Wild Life and Ficheries Commission.

Feriodic checks will be made by commission personnel to promote a

balanced fish population and provide technical advice when necessary.

When the above suggestions are completed the citizens of Bossier Farish will have a recreational area that will provide water ckiing, boating, swimming, plenicing, fishing, and in general enjoyment of the out of doors. The overall conditions of Ivan Lake and immediate area will be 100% improved and should be an attraction to Bossier Parish.

### ANNIAL MANAGEMENT FLAN

## Recommendations

This plan is suggested for five (5) years with a possible revision at the cod of this time. This plan is to begin one year after restocking operations.

#### I. WATER LEVEL FLUCTUATION

As armual water level Clumbation program is reccommended for

Ivan take. Basically this constitutes dewatering the level of the lake a prescribed amount for a designated period then reflooding. This is a management tool designed to improve fishing, control obnoxious vegetation, and increase the fertility of the water.

Quite often the fish population of an Impoundment tends to get "out of balance". This means the ratio between carmivorous and forage fish becomes upset, with the forage fish out numbering their produtors. This is especially true in a body of water having excessive quanities of aquatic vegetation or large areas of trees and stubble. This aquatic jumple provides extensive Nasitat for-forage species such as bluegill and minnows and give them retuge from carnivorous fish such as large mouth bass. These forage fish reproduce at such a rate that they soon exceed the available food supply. The result is "stunted".fish. Their numbers are large; but fow reach a desirable size. By lowering the water level, the forage fish are forced from hidding and concentrated in a smaller area. At this point the careivorous fish can easily reduce the number of forage fish thereby continuing a "balanced population". Upon reflooding, the remaining figh will grow faster, with their off springs-having a higher growth rate, presenting the angler with desirable size fish.

Water fluctuation is also used to control observious aquatic vegetations. It will estiminate aquatic vegetation but will keep growth to a minimum. By drying and exposing the vegetation to the

sun, air, and freezing temperature, the nature plants will be killed and decomposed. This will delay the development of plants the following growing season, thereby, furnishing six (6) to nine (9) weeks more fishing time the next spring. A fluctuation program carried on for several consecutive years can gradually reduce the quantity of undesirable plants and provide more open water for fishing and aquatic sports.

The drawdown should begin on Labor Day. The level should be dropped at the rate of four (4) inches per identy-four hour period until elevation 195 is reached. This level is to be held unless further drawdown is deemed necessary upon inspection by commission personnel.

Close the gates on December 15 and allow the lake to refill.

Under normal conditions this should be accomplished by the last week
in January.

This program should be carried out annually for five years.

A program such as the one suggested for Ivan Lake will provide aquatic weed control, better fish production, increase the water fertility, and provide and productive and useful lake.

Respectfully submitted:

Charles E. Roenke

Ficheries Biologist

......

Robert Kimble Lloyd Posey
District I Supervicor Take Management Project Leader

43

## **APPENDIX IV**

(return to Bossier)

## Letter from USACE Concerning License Agreement



REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

LOUISIANA FIELD OFFICE VICKSBURG DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 2505 SOUTH OBAND STREET MONROELFOU SIANA 71002 0273 PHONE (318) 322-8281

April 11, 2004

James Scales
Fisheries Biologist Supervisor
Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries
Inland Fisheries Division District 1
1401 Talton Street
Minden, LA 71055

Dear Mr. Seales:

The purpose of this letter is to inquire about the possibility of your agency assuming the operation, maintenance, and management of Ivan Lake and dam. As you know, Ivan Lake is located on U.S. Army Corps of Engineers property at Bayou Bodeau Dam and Reservoir. This lake has been leased by the Bossier Parish Police Jury for recreational purposes for the past 25 years. Their lease expired on April 6, 2005, and they have decided to not to renew it.

The Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries has done an excellent job in managing the wildlife and fisheries resources in the Ivan Lake area and Bayou Bodeau Reservoir. Unfortunately, our regulations do not allow us to assume operation, maintenance, and management of relinquished facilities. Therefore, unless another agency, local governmental body, or qualified quasi-public organization agrees to lease this area, then we may have no choice but to close it for public use. We may also have to drain the lake in the event that the dam becomes a safety hazard.

We certainly do not want to close Ivan Lake for public use or drain this lake, and we are seeking assistance from your agency to avoid adverse impacts. Please call me as soon as possible at 318-322-6391, Ext. 104 so we may discuss this matter. I will appreciate any assistance that you can offer.

Sincerely,

Hayre A. Stoyel J. Wayne R. Stogsdill, Jr. Purk Manager

# APPENDIX V

(return to restoration)


# Conceptual Design of Lake Renovation Plan



## **APPENDIX VI**

(return to document)

## Cultural Resource Survey



### APPENDIX VII

(return to hydrilla)

## Ivan Lake Aquatic Vegetation Management Plan

Prepared by Aquatic Plant Research & Control Section Inland Fisheries Division August 3, 2004

Ivan Lake is a 520 acre waterbody in Bossier Parish, created in 1954 by the United States Army Corps of Engineers. Ivan drains a fifty-five square mile area which calculates to a watershed ratio of 68:1. The lake was surveyed again this year to determine the presence and coverage of aquatic vegetation.

Hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*), variable leaf milfoil (*Myriophyllum heterophyllum*) and coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*) are present in severe to moderate amounts in a fringe around the lake out to the six foot contour. The area of the lake approaching the Highway 529 bridge is most severely impacted with boating access restricted to the creek channel. Bladderwort (*Utricularia spp.*) and fanwort (*Cabomba caroliniana*) are also present in problematic levels throughout the lake. All submerged species combined cover approximately 40% of the waterbody.

Several emergent species of aquatic vegetation were recorded in the lake. White water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*) covers large areas of the lake along with smaller areas of American lotus (*Nelumbo lutea*). The shoreline is lined by lizard's tail (*Saururus cernuus*), giant cutgrass (*Zizaniopsis miliacea*), cattail (*Typha spp.*) and smartweed (*Polygonum spp.*).

Hydrilla is an exotic species that is difficult to control and nearly impossible to eradicate. Hydrilla can usually out-compete native vegetation and when left unchecked will form monotypic stands of dense vegetation. Hydrilla produces reproductive structures, turions and subterranean turions, from which it can regenerate after control efforts. The subterranean turions, hereafter called tubers, are produced up to a foot deep in the hydrosoil where they can remain viable for up to five years. These tubers are resistant to drying especially in heavy clay, organic soils. The main production of tubers is triggered when periods of daylight fall below thirteen hours.

One method of control for hydrilla and other submerged aquatics is the use of triploid Grass Carp (TGC). These sterile fish are very effective in the control of hydrilla when stocked in appropriate numbers and contained within the waterbody. To use TGC in Ivan Lake would require the construction of a permanent barrier on the spillway to prevent escape. This would also require routine maintenance for repairs and to remove debris that would collect against the barrier. Unless a funding source can be found for the construction and maintenance of the spillway barrier and purchase of the TGC this method is not an option.

The second method of control for hydrilla infestations is the use of EPA approved aquatic herbicides. These herbicides, when used correctly can give one or possibly two years of control. There are two types of herbicides, systemic and contact. The systemic herbicide applicable to this infestation, fluridone, is applied to the waterbody in appropriate amounts to treat the entire water column and be taken up by the plant causing chlorosis and eventually death. Fluridone is generally recommended in a total lake

treatment due to problems with dilution in spot treatments. Fluridone is extremely effective but is cost prohibitive in a waterbody of this size, a total lake treatment would cost an estimated \$59,000. Contact herbicides, such as endothall and diquat dibromide, although effective on hydrilla are also labor intensive. Contact herbicides, as the name implies, must be placed on the individual plants in the correct concentration for control. These herbicides must be diluted with the proper amount of water and injected into the masses of vegetation wherever control is desired. A cost estimate for an application to the existing vegetation with contact herbicides ranges from \$45,000 to \$90,000 dependent upon choice in herbicide.

The third method of control is habitat manipulation or drawdowns. Drawdowns are the low cost alternative which can give the desired results when used correctly. As stated previously hydrilla is resistant to drawdowns. A single drawdown will actually aid hydrilla by eliminating competing vegetation that is less drawdown resistant. Hydrilla tubers can survive five years in the hydrosoil and can therefore survive infrequent dewatering. However, if a drawdown management plan is understood and adhered to, hydrilla can be controlled. The first fact that must be understood is that drawdowns stimulate tuber sprouting. This means that after one drawdown the vegetation will not be controlled and may actually appear worse. The drying of the water bottom stimulates approximately 80% of the tubers to sprout. This correlates to an 80% reduction in the amount of existing tubers in the hydrosoil for next year. The drawdowns are also timed to prevent the main production of tubers in the fall. In summary the benefits of drawdown are the destruction of existing plants, the prevention of tuber production and the stimulation of existing tubers to sprout. The negative side of drawdowns is the impacts to recreational activities and irrigation.

The last method of control to be discussed is integrated pest management (IPM). This method is a combination of two or all three methods described above. Use of IPM could consist of a minimal drawdown in conjunction with herbicide applications to reduce the level of infestation. This reduction in vegetation would be followed in the fall by a light stocking of triploid grass carp. The advantage of IPM is the ability to reap the benefit of several control methods and not be dependent on the success or failure of just one method of control. The drawback to IPM is the combined cost of several control methods in addition to their individual disadvantages discussed previously.

Due to the infestation of submerged aquatic vegetation and lack of funding, it is recommended that a five-year, six-foot drawdown plan be considered. We recommend that the gates be opened September 13, 2004 allowing the lake to fall at the rate of 3-4 inches per day until it reaches the 194' MSL contour. The Department will survey the lake after the lake level reaches 194' MSL to assure dewatering of the majority of the vegetation. This six foot drawdown should continue until January 24, 2005 when the gates should be closed to allow the lake to refill. The Department will conduct yearly surveys to monitor vegetation levels and adjust the management plan as necessary.

### APPENDIX VIII

(return to Type Map)

### VEGETATION TYPE MAP

### **IVAN LAKE 2013**

Kevin Houston and Jeff Sibley

The vegetation type mapping was performed on March 13, 2013. The lake was at pool stage during the survey, and secchi readings on the lower end of the lake measured approximately 54 inches.

## **Species Present**

The following species were identified in Ivan Lake: Eurasian milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*), bladderwort (*Utricularia spp*), southern naiad (*Najas guadalupensis*), *Elodea* spp., hydrilla (*Hydrilla verticillata*), primrose spp. (*Ludwigia spp*.), horned pondweed (*Zannichellia palustris*), fragrant water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*), giant cutgrass (*Zizaniopsis miliacea*), common reed (*Phragmites australis*), pennywort (*Hydrocotyle spp*.), alligator weed (*Alternanthera philoxeroides*), parrot feather (*Myriophyllum aquaticum*), and giant salvinia (*Salvinia molesta*).

## Severity

Aquatic vegetation covers approximately 20-25% of Ivan Lake. While this coverage is not severe, there are dense patches of submerged vegetation around the shoreline extending approximately 40 yards from the shore. Eurasian milfoil and southern naiad are the most abundant species present (90% total coverage). The remaining coverage is a conglomeration of the species listed above. Giant salvinia was found near the boat ramp in the rocks along the dam, and a couple of pieces were found floating along the shoreline during the survey. Only a couple of hydrilla stems were observed. The total acreage affected by submerged vegetation is 121.5 acres.

### Management/ History

Ivan Lake was filled in February of 2012 after being completely drained for the past several years. Since 2006, the gates on Ivan Lake have been completely open. This has allowed the lake level to pulse up and down during high rainfall events. Even with these harsh conditions and persistent drought, submerged vegetation is making a rapid return to Ivan Lake. Based on historical type map data, we can expect vegetation coverage to increase in severity and expand to upwards of 180-200 acres (approx. 35%).

The 2013 Ivan Lake Aquatic Vegetation Control Plan lists the following recommendation for submerged aquatics—


"Native submerged species are expected to return to Ivan Lake. The introduction of triploid grass carp is recommended at a rate of 3 fish per vegetated acre as a precautionary measure. The timing of the carp stocking will be determined by monitoring the lake during the 2013 year."

Based upon the vegetation control plan, a recommendation of 600 grass carp should be stocked into Ivan Lake in the spring of 2013 in an attempt to maintain submerged aquatics at an acceptable level.

Giant salvinia coverage should be monitored regularly and applications made as the need arises.

A typical shoreline view of milfoil patches in Ivan Lake & Ivan Lake submerged vegetation coverage.





### APPENDIX IX

(return to contaminants)

## Fish Consumption Advisory



GOVERNOR

David Hood Secretary Department of Health & Hospitals P. O. Box 629 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-0629 J. Dale Givens James H. Jenkins, Jr.
Secretary Secretary
Department of Department of
Environmental Quality
P. O. Box 82215
P. O. Box 98000
Baton Rouge, LA
70884-2215
70898-9000

The following fish consumption advisory was issued on <u>11/20/00</u> by the Department of Health & Hospitals, the Department of Environmental Quality, and the Department of Wildlife & Fisheries. For more information, please contact:

DHH Robert Starszak (504) 568-8537

Chris Roberie (225) 765-0634 DWF Gary Tilyou (225) 765-2343

# FISH CONSUMPTION ADVISORY FOR

Based on fish sampling of Ivan Lake in Bossier Parish, unacceptable levels of mercury have been detected in bowfin and largemouth bass. Therefore, the Louisiana Department of Health & Hospitals, Department of Environmental Quality, and Department of Wildlife & Fisheries advise that the following precautions be taken when eating fish taken from Ivan Lake.

IVAN LAKE

- Pregnant women, breast-feeding women, women planning to be pregnant, and children
  less than seven years of age should NOT consume bowfin from the advisory area and
  should consume no more than ONE MEAL PER MONTH of largemouth bass (a meal
  is considered to be half a pound of fish for adults and children). There are no limits on
  other species.
- Non-pregnant women, women not planning to become pregnant, men, and children seven
  years of age and older should consume no more than TWO MEALS PER MONTH of
  bowfin but do not have to limit consumption of other species.

Mercury is an element that occurs naturally in the environment. It is released into the environment through natural processes and human activities. Consequently, there are small amounts of mercury in lakes, rivers, and oceans. Nearly all fish contain trace amounts of mercury. They absorb mercury from the water and sediment as they feed on aquatic organisms. Larger predator fish contain more mercury than smaller fish. Therefore, in general, it is recommended that smaller fish be consumed instead of larger ones.

People are exposed throughout their lives to low levels of mercury. One way they can be exposed to mercury is from eating contaminated fish. Health effects from harmful levels of mercury can include nervous system and kidney damage. Developing fetuses are more sensitive to the toxic effects of mercury, especially in the first trimester. In addition to developing fetuses, infants and children are more sensitive to the effects of mercury, therefore, consumption advisories are issued at lower fish tissue concentration levels for these groups.

Fish Consumption Advisory for Ivan Lake Page 2

This advisory is issued as a precaution. Further sampling will be carried out by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality to determine the need for modifications to this advisory. If you have consumed bowfin and/or largemouth bass from these waters, it is not likely that there is an immediate need to be concerned about the effects of mercury. However, you should consult your personal doctor if you are concerned.

Jimmy Guidry, M.D.

State Health Officer and Medical Director Department of Health & Hospitals

Uladely ....

Madeline McAndrew Assistant Secretary, Office of Public Health

Department of Health & Hospitals

David Hood

Secretary

Department of Health & Hospitals

V. Dale Givens

Sécretary

Department of Environmental Quality

James H. Kirk

Secretary

Department of Wildlife & Fisheries